Feedback of the Evaluation Team Chair on the Performance of the BAETE Outcome Based Accreditation Evaluation Team Member

Please	email the	completed form to	membersecretary	@baetebang	gladesh.org.	
--------	-----------	-------------------	-----------------	------------	--------------	--

Name of the program evaluated:
Name of the Institution:
Dates of the On-site Visit:
One form should be filled out for each Team Member/Observer by the evaluation team
chair. The feedback provided here is treated confidentially by BAETE and is not shared
with any unauthorized person or with any member of the concerned evaluation team.
Name of the Evaluation Team member being evaluated:
Designation: member, Observer
Disagn water on a goals of 1 = 5 (5) stunnely agree 1, stunnely disagnes) on each of the

Please rate on a scale of 1-5 (5: strongly agree, 1: strongly disagree) on each of the following points. Please justify your rating with brief comment for each point. In a case, where you do not agree with the statement, an example to the contrary is helpful.

Part 1: Accreditation Evaluation

1. The evaluator was knowledgeable about BAETE accreditation policy, procedure and criteria.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

2. The evaluator was skilled in assessing the appropriateness and attainment of outcomes.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

3. The evaluator was familiar with the contents of the SAR submitted by the program before the pre-visit meeting.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

4. The evaluator effectively probed issues that required further investigation and came to conclusions through triangulation process using evidences.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

5. The evaluation process followed by the evaluator was holistic and did not involve cherry picking or bean counting.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

Part 2: Conduct

6. The evaluator maintained composure and collegiality during the visit.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

7. The evaluator acted formally and maintained decorum during the visit.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

8. The evaluator maintained punctuality on all occasions.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

9. The evaluator did not prescribe anything to the program to do something in a particular way.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

10. The evaluator was accommodating in arranging the pre-visit meeting, on-site visit and in preparing the evaluation team report.

Rating	5	4	3	2	1
Comment					

Part 3: Any additional feedback
Form filled out by –
Name:
Designation:
Date: